



**Public Protection (food & safety)**

**Food Premises Inspection Report**

Name of business:	St Matthew's Church
Address of food business:	St Matthews Church Telegraph Lane West Norwich NR1 4JA
Date of inspection:	11/12/2024
Risk rating reference:	24/00568/FOOD
Premises reference:	23/00137/FD_HS
Type of premises:	Charitable food catering
Areas inspected:	None
Records examined:	Training Certificates/records, SFBB
Details of samples procured:	None
Summary of action taken:	Informal
General description of business:	Coffee morning

**Relevant Legislation**

Food Safety Act 1990 (as amended)

Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 applied by The General Food Regulations 2004 (as amended)

Regulation (EC) No 852/2004 and No 853/2004 applied by Regulation 19 (1) of the Food Safety and Hygiene (England) Regulations 2013

Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974 and related regulations

Food Information Regulations 2014

**What you must do to comply with the law**

The report may include **Contraventions** - matters which do not comply with the law . You must address all of the contraventions identified; failure to do so could result in legal action being taken against you.

As a guide, contraventions relating to cleaning, temperature control and poor practice, should be dealt with straight away.

Contraventions relating to structural repairs, your food safety management system and staff training, should be completed within the next 2 months.

Health and safety contraventions should be dealt with within 3 months unless otherwise stated.

To assist you the report may also include **Observations** of current practice, useful **Information, Recommendations** of good practice and reminders of **Legal Requirements**.

My inspection was not intended to identify every contravention of the law and only covers those areas, practices and procedures examined at the time of the inspection. If the report fails to mention a particular matter this does not mean you have necessarily complied with the law.

## **FOOD SAFETY**

### **How we calculate your Food Hygiene Rating:**

The food safety section has been divided into the three areas which you are scored against for the hygiene rating: 1. food hygiene and safety procedures, 2. structural requirements and 3. confidence in management/control procedures. Each section begins with a summary of what was observed and the score you have been given. Details of how these scores combine to produce your overall food hygiene rating are shown in the table.

<b>Compliance Area</b>	<b>You Score</b>					
Food Hygiene and Safety	0	<b>5</b>	10	15	20	25
Structure and Cleaning	0	<b>5</b>	10	15	20	25
Confidence in management & control systems	0	5	<b>10</b>	15	20	30
<b>Your Total score</b>	0 - 15	<b>20</b>	25 - 30	35 - 40	45 - 50	> 50
<b>Your Worst score</b>	5	<b>10</b>	10	15	20	-
<b>Your Rating is</b>	5	<b>4</b>	3	2	1	0

Your Food Hygiene Rating is 4 - a good standard



### **1. Food Hygiene and Safety**

Food hygiene standards are high. You demonstrated a very good standard of compliance with legal requirements. You have safe food handling practices and procedures and all the necessary control measures to prevent cross-contamination are in place. Some minor contraventions require your attention. **(Score 5)**

**Recommendation** Chopping/cutting boards were being stored directly touching. Chopping/cutting boards must be stored in a rack properly divided to stop cross-contamination and to allow aeration

### **Personal Hygiene**

**Contravention** The following are examples of poor personal hygiene or where it was made difficult for food handlers to maintain sufficiently high standards of personal cleanliness:

- staff were not wearing protective clothing

**Legal requirement** All persons in food handling areas must wear suitable, clean, and

where appropriate protective clothing

**Contravention** The following matters represented poor practice and if allowed to continue may cause food to become contaminated or lead to its deterioration:

- open foods i.e cream not covered in fridge

**Observation** I was pleased to see handwashing was well managed.

**Guidance** UK Health Security Agency recommends that food handlers known or suspected to be suffering from a food-borne infection or gastrointestinal illness stay away from work until symptom-free for 48 hours.

## **2. Structure and Cleaning**

The structure facilities and standard of cleaning and maintenance are all of a good standard and only minor repairs and/or improvements are required. Pest control and waste disposal provisions are adequate. The minor contraventions require your attention. **(Score 5)**

**Contravention** The following items were dirty and require more frequent and thorough cleaning:

- flooring badly marked
- windows
- kitchen units

### **Cleaning of Equipment and Food Contact Surfaces**

**Contravention** The following items are dirty and must be cleaned:

- microwave ceiling
- freezer seals
- fridge drawer

### **Maintenance**

**Contravention** The following had not been suitably maintained and must be repaired or replaced:

- worktops damaged or worn. You informed me that the kitchen was due a refurbishment in the near future

### **Cleaning Chemicals, Materials, Equipment and Methods**

**Observation** I was pleased to see that the premises was kept clean and that your cleaning materials, methods and equipment were able to minimise the spread of harmful bacteria between surfaces.

### **Pest Control**

**Observation** I was pleased to see that the premises was proofed against the entry of pests and that pest control procedures were in place.

### **3. Confidence in Management**

There are generally satisfactory food safety controls in place although there is evidence of some non-compliance with the law. The contraventions require your attention; although not critical to food safety they may become so if not addressed. **(Score 10)**

**Observation** Your SFBB/food safety management system was in place and working well. I was confident you had effective control over hazards to food.

**Observation** Your records were such that food could easily be traced back to its supplier.

**Observation** I was pleased to see that food handlers had been trained to an appropriate level and evidence of their training was made available.

**Observation** You had identified the presence of allergens in your non-prepacked food and had brought this to the attention of your customers.

#### **Hazard ID and control.**

**Contravention** The following pre-requisites have not been met and prove your food safety management system is ineffective:

- maintenance is poor. The counter tops and units were worn
- flooring badly marked

**Information** Before implementing a food safety management (FSM) system like Safer Food Better Business etc., basic good hygiene conditions and practices called prerequisites must be in place. Only then will your FSM system be effective in ensuring the preparation of safe food.